16

17

18

19

20

Defendant's impermissible access of Plaintiff's credit information in violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

## **JURISDICTION**

2. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 which confers jurisdiction over Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") claims without regard to the amount in controversy. The sole claim asserted in this case is for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681p.

## **VENUE**

3. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1337 because Defendant's principal place of business is located at 2323 West Bell Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85023.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff Mikhail Miller (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Glendale, Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 5. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 6. Defendant Midway Chevrolet Company I, LLC d/b/a Midway Chevrolet

  ("Midway" or "Defendant") is a for-profit entity organized pursuant to the

laws of Arizona with its principal place of business at 2323 West Bell Road, 1 Phoenix, Arizona 85023. 2 **FACTS** 3 7. A few days before September 15, 2015, Plaintiff received a solicitation from 4 Capital One Auto Finance which stated "You're pre-approved for up to \$35,000 5 in auto financing." 6 The solicitation directed Plaintiff to take the letter to Midway. 8. 7 9. On September 15, 2015, Plaintiff went to Midway with the letter. 8 10. Plaintiff was introduced to salesperson Benjamin Navarrette. 9 11. Plaintiff gave the Capital One solicitation letter to Navarrette, and told Navarrette 10 that he was interested in buying a car with Capital One's pre-approved credit. 11 12. Navarrette asked Plaintiff for personal information such as birth date, social 12 security number, etc. 13 13. Plaintiff told Navarrette that he did not want to provide his personal information 14 as he did not want Midway to pull his credit. 15 14. Plaintiff reiterated he wanted to use Capital One's pre-approved credit, and he did 16 not see any reason for Midway to pull his credit. 17 15. Plaintiff was familiar with how a dealership uses information on a perspective 18 customer during negotiations, and he did not want Midway to have access to his 19 credit history.

1	16.	In fact, Plaintiff attempted to buy a vehicle a few months prior and felt the dealer
2		was using information on his credit history against him.
3	17.	Plaintiff decided not to buy a car at that time, and Plaintiff did not want that to
4		happen again.
5	18.	Plaintiff pointedly told Navarrette that he was not going to sign any documents
6		such as a credit application which will authorize Midway to pull his credit history.
7	19.	Navarrette agreed Midway will not pull Plaintiff's credit history.
8	20.	Plaintiff did not ask for any credit from Midway.
9	21.	Notwithstanding the fact that Navarrette agreed Midway would not pull Plaintiff's
10		credit history, and the fact that Plaintiff did not ask for any credit from Midway,
11		Midway accessed Plaintiff's credit file from Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion
12		on September 15th, 2015. (Exhibit A).
13	22.	Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C.
		§1681a(f).
14	23.	Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C.
15		§1681a(f).
16	24.	TransUnion is a "consumer reporting agency" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C.
17		§1681a(f).
18	25.	Experian acts as a data repository, assembling and storing information on
19		consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties.

1	36.	As a result, Santander Consumer USA pulled Plaintiff's credit history through
2		Experian on September 15, 2015. (Exhibit A).
3	37.	Midway also sent Plaintiff's personal information to California Republic Bank.
4	38.	As a result, California Republic Bank pulled Plaintiff's credit history through
5		Experian on September 15, 2015. (Exhibit A).
6	39.	Midway also sent Plaintiff's personal information to COAF.
7	40.	As a result, COAF pulled Plaintiff's credit history through TransUnion on
8		September 15, 2015. (Exhibit A).
9	41.	Midway also sent Plaintiff's personal information to Ally Financial.
10	42.	As a result, Ally Financial pulled Plaintiff's credit history through TransUnion on
11		September 15, 2015. (Exhibit A).
12	43.	Midway also sent Plaintiff's personal information to Compass Bank.
13	44.	As a result, Compass Bank pulled Plaintiff's credit history through TransUnion on
14		September 15, 2015. (Exhibit A).
	45.	To make the matters even worse, Plaintiff's attempt to communicate with Midway
15		about the illegal pull of his credit has met with complete silence.
16	46.	Plaintiff told Navarrette about the illegal pull and asked him to gather credit
17		reports and give them to Plaintiff, and delete all electronically kept credit reports.
18	47.	Navarrette did not respond.
19		
20		

use or obtain a consumer report for any purpose unless -

Plaintiff's credit information from Experian, Equifax or TransUnion.

	Case 2:16-cv-00135-MHB Document 1 Filed 01/20/16 Page 10 of 10
1	f. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.
2	DATED this $20^{\mathrm{th}}$ of January, 2016.
3	CHOI & FABIAN, PLC
4	By: <u>/s/ Hyung S. Choi</u> Hyung S. Choi
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	